

PCT


WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : C10G 71/00, 35/06, C07C 5/00, 5/13, 5/22		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/41336
			(43) International Publication Date: 19 August 1999 (19.08.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/03009		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, SG, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 12 February 1999 (12.02.99)			
(30) Priority Data: 60/074,650 13 February 1998 (13.02.98) US		Published With international search report.	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): EXXON RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY [US/US]; 180 Park Avenue, P.O. Box 390, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US).			
(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LETA, Daniel, Paul [US/US]; 15 Rustic Trail, Flemington, NJ 08822 (US). SOLED, Stuart, Leon [US/US]; 21 Cooks Cross Road, Pittstown, NJ 08867 (US). McVICKER, Gary, Brice [US/US]; 65 Main Street, Califon, NJ 07830 (US). HANTZER, Sylvain [US/US]; 37620 Seven Oaks Avenue, Prairieville, LA 70769 (US).			
(74) Agents: SCUORZO, Linda, M. et al.; Exxon Research and Engineering Company, P.O. Box 390, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US).			

(54) Title: PRODUCTION OF LUBRICATING OILS BY A COMBINATION CATALYST SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

A process for preparing a lubricating oil basestock (43) having good low temperature properties is disclosed. The process includes contacting a feed (15) with a first amorphous isomerization catalyst (21) having a pore volume less than 0.99 ml/gm (H₂O), an alumina content in the range of 30-50 % based on the isomerization catalyst and an isoelectric point in the range of 4.5 to 6.5. The isomerization step is followed by a catalytic dewaxing step using an intermediate pore crystalline molecular sieve (39) to produce a product (43). A further embodiment includes a first amorphous isomerization catalyst wherein the silica is modified with a rare earth oxide, yttria, boria or magnesia and an isoelectric point greater than but no more than 2 points greater than that of the base silica alumina.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NO	Norway	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NZ	New Zealand	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	PL	Poland	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	RO	Romania		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RU	Russian Federation		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	SD	Sudan		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SE	Sweden		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SG	Singapore		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia				
EE	Estonia						

**PRODUCTION OF LUBRICATING OILS
BY A COMBINATION CATALYST SYSTEM**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a process for preparing lubricating oil basestocks. More particularly, a hydrocarbon feedstock is dewaxed by contacting the feedstock with an amorphous isomerization catalyst followed by a crystalline molecular sieve dewaxing catalyst.

BACKGROUND OF THE DISCLOSURE

In order to achieve the low temperature properties required for modern lubricating oil basestocks, it is usually necessary to dewax lubricating base oil feeds to remove waxy paraffins. It is well known that removal of waxy paraffins is needed to produce a base oil having the low pour points needed for acceptable cold flow properties.

Conventional methods for dewaxing include solvent dewaxing and catalytic dewaxing. It is difficult to economically produce a base oil which meets the low temperature properties required by present and future standards for engine oils. i.e., a high viscosity index (VI) and low pour point, from a conventional crude feedstock by solvent dewaxing alone, particularly as the VI and pour point demands are made increasingly stringent. Alternatives to solvent dewaxing include catalytic dewaxing by selective hydrocracking and/or catalytic dewaxing by wax isomerization. Both alternatives typically employ shape selective crystalline molecular sieves.

U.S. 5,149,421 discloses a process for catalytically dewaxing a hydrocarbon feed by contacting the feed in the presence of hydrogen with a layered catalyst containing an intermediate pore size silicoaluminophosphate molecular sieve and a hydrogenation component, and an intermediate pore size aluminosilicate zeolite.

U.S. 4,919,788 describes a process for producing a lubricating oil basestock with a target pour point and high VI by dewaxing a feed with a catalyst containing at least one large pore zeolite having a hydrogenation-dehydrogenation component to isomerize waxy paraffinic components and selectively dewaxing the effluent by preferential removal of straight chain, waxy components. The selective dewaxing component can be solvent or selective cat dewaxing. Selective cat dewaxing can be accomplished by a zeolite such as ZSM-22 or ZSM-23.

EP 188,898 B1 relates to a process for dewaxing a feedstock by contacting the feed with a crystalline zeolites having a Constraint Index less than 2 associated with a hydrogenation/dehydrogenation component in a first stage followed by contacting the effluent from the first stage with a second stage catalyst containing a crystalline zeolite having a Constraint Index greater than 2 also associated with a hydrogenation/dehydrogenation component.

WO 96/07715 describes a process for producing a high VI lubricant from a waxy feed by a process in which the waxy feed is catalytically dewaxed primarily by isomerization using a low acidity large pore zeolite isomerization catalyst containing a noble metal hydrogenation component followed by a second catalytic dewaxing step using a constrained intermediate pore crystalline molecular sieve containing a metal hydrogenation/dehydrogenation component.

EP 744,452 relates to a process for producing lubricating base oils by contacting hydrocracker bottoms with a catalyst comprising Pt and/or Pd on a refractory oxide carrier in the presence of hydrogen, separating the effluent from step 1 into a light and heavy distillate fraction and catalytically dewaxing the heavy distillate fraction.

It would be desirable to have an economic process for selectively producing a high VI, low pour point lubricating base oil under mild conditions to maximize yield and low temperature properties.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a process for economically producing a lubricating oil basestock with excellent low temperature properties by dewaxing a feedstock using a first amorphous isomerization catalyst followed by a crystalline molecular sieve dewaxing catalyst. The process for producing a lubricating base oil having good low temperature properties comprises:

- (1) contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock with an amorphous silica-alumina based isomerization catalyst having a pore volume less than 0.99 ml/gm (H_2O), an alumina content in the range of 35-55 wt% based on silica-alumina and an isoelectric point in the range of 4.5 to 6.5, and a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of hydrogen to at least partially isomerize waxy paraffins in the hydrocarbon feedstock, and
- (2) contacting at least a portion of the at least partially isomerized feedstock with a 10 or 12 ring shape selective intermediate pore crystalline molecular sieve containing a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of

hydrogen to at least partially catalytically dewax the feedstock from step (1).

The isomerization catalyst and the dewaxing catalyst can be contained in a single reactor in a layered configuration provided that the feedstock first contacts the isomerization catalyst. Alternatively, the isomerization catalyst and dewaxing catalyst may be in separate reactors provided that the feedstock first contacts the isomerization catalyst.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a partial diagrammatic view showing a layered catalyst in a single reactor in a process scheme for making lubricating oils.

Figure 2 is a schematic flow diagram showing a two reactor system for making lubricating oils.

Figure 3 is a graph showing VI as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A/Catalyst B stacked bed configuration vs. Catalyst B alone.

Figure 4 is a graph showing yield as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A/Catalyst B stacked bed configuration vs. Catalyst B alone.

Figure 5 is a graph showing VI as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A/Catalyst C stacked bed configuration vs. Catalyst A/Catalyst C composite vs. Catalyst C/alumina.

- 5 -

Figure 6 is a graph showing 350°C+ yield as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A/Catalyst C stacked bed configuration vs. Catalyst A/Catalyst C composite vs. Catalyst C/alumina.

Figure 7 is a graph showing VI as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A first, Catalyst C second stacked bed configuration vs. a Catalyst A second, Catalyst C first stacked bed configuration.

Figure 8 is a graph showing 350°C+ yield as a function of pour point for a Catalyst A first, Catalyst C second stacked bed configuration vs. a Catalyst A second, Catalyst C first stacked bed configuration.

Figure 9 is a graph of VI versus Pour Point (°C) for three feeds for Catalyst C.

Figure 10 is a graph of VI versus Pour Point (°C) for three feeds for Catalyst E.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the process of the invention, a hydrocarbon feedstock is dewaxed by first contacting the feedstock with an isomerization catalyst and the effluent from this step contacted with a catalytic dewaxing catalyst to produce a lubricating oil basestock having good low temperature properties. While the waxy paraffinic components of a typical hydrocarbon feedstock possess good VI characteristics, it is necessary to remove or isomerize most of the waxy paraffins in order to achieve a basestock having good low temperature properties, e.g., a low pour point and a low Brookfield viscosity. Multi-ring aromatics and poly-

cyclic naphthenes possess poor VI and pour point properties, and it desirable to selectively remove these species from the lubricating basestock boiling range. The present process minimizes the amount of cracking to light products not suitable as lubricating oil basestocks by selectively converting a portion of the waxy paraffins to iso-paraffins and completes pour point reduction by catalytic dewaxing by selective hydrocracking. By controlling the amount of cracking to light products, the yield of lube oil basestock is maximized.

The process according to the invention can process a wide variety of wax-containing feedstocks including feeds derived from crude oils, shale oils and tar sands as well as synthetic feeds such as those derived from the Fischer-Tropsch process. Typical wax-containing feedstocks for the preparation of lubricating base oils have initial boiling points of about 315°C or higher, and include feeds such as reduced crudes, hydrocrackates, raffinates, hydrotreated oils, atmospheric gas oils, vacuum gas oils, coker gas oils, atmospheric and vacuum resids, deasphalted oils, slack waxes and Fischer-Tropsch wax. Such feeds may be derived from distillation towers (atmospheric and vacuum), hydrocrackers, hydrotreaters and solvent extraction units, and may have wax contents of up to 50% or more. Optionally, such feeds may be solvent dewaxed prior to the process of the invention.

Hydrocracking, hydrotreating and solvent extraction are well known in the art. Typical hydrocracking catalysts have a large pore size, and contain an acidic functionality as well as a hydrogenation component. Examples include amorphous materials such as alumina and silica-alumina and crystalline aluminosilicate materials such as zeolite X, zeolite Y, and ZSM-20. Hydrocracking accomplishes ring opening as well as saturation of aromatic compounds to yield hydrocracked products of a more paraffinic character. Typical hydrocracking

- 7 -

conditions include hydrogen pressures of from 10,335 to 17,238 kPa (1,500 to 2,500 psia), temperature of from 343 to 427° C, liquid hourly space velocity of 0.5 to 2 and hydrogen treat gas rate of 356 to 1780 m³/m³ (2,000 to 10,000 Scf/bbl).

Hydrotreating of feeds is directed to heteroatom removal as well as saturation of aromatics. Since nitrogen and sulfur containing compounds may be detrimental to dewaxing catalysts, feeds are hydrotreated to lower nitrogen- and sulfur-containing species to less than about 20 ppmw, preferably less than 10 ppmw. The nitrogen- and sulfur-containing species are converted under hydrotreating conditions to hydrogen sulfide and ammonia, which are typically removed by, e.g., stripping prior to the dewaxing steps. Typical hydrotreating catalysts are non-noble metal Group VIII and/or Group VIB metals on a weakly acidic inorganic support such as alumina. Examples of hydrotreating catalysts include Co/Mo, Ni/Mo or Co/Ni/Mo all on alumina. Hydrotreating reaction conditions are described in EP 225,053 B1.

Waxy feeds secured from natural petroleum sources contain quantities of sulfur and nitrogen compounds which are known to deactivate wax hydroisomerization catalysts. To prevent this deactivation it is preferred that the feed contain no more than 10 ppm sulfur, preferably less than 2 ppm sulfur and no more than 2 ppm nitrogen, preferably less than 1 ppm nitrogen.

To achieve these limits the feed is preferably hydrotreated to reduce the sulfur and nitrogen content.

Hydrotreating can be conducted using any typical hydrotreating catalyst such as Ni/Mo on alumina, Co/Mo on alumina, Co/Ni/Mo on alumina,

e.g., KF-840, KF-843, HDN-30, HDN-60, Criteria C-411, etc. Similarly, bulk catalysts comprising Ni/Mn/Mo or Cr/Ni/Mo sulfides as described in U.S. Patent 5,122,258 can be used.

Hydrotreating is performed at temperatures in the range 280°C to 400°C, preferably 340°C to 380°C at pressures in the range 500 to 3000 psi, hydrogen treat gas rate in the range of 500 to 5000 SCF/bbl and a flow velocity in the range 0.1 to 5 LHSV, preferably 1 to 2 LHSV.

Solvent extraction is used to separate aromatics including heteroatom-containing species from paraffinic hydrocarbons. Typical solvents include phenol, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone and furfural. The raffinate from solvent extraction which may be hydrotreated if desired to lower nitrogen and sulfur content can be used as a feedstock in the present invention.

If it is desired to remove wax as a valuable product the feedstock can be solvent dewaxed prior to catalytic dewaxing by using typical solvent dewaxers such as propane, butane, methyl ethyl ketone, methyl isobutyl ketone and mixtures thereof. The ketones can be combined with other solvents such as benzene, toluene and xylene.

Solvent dewaxing typically involves mixing the feed with chilled dewaxing solvent to form an oil-solvent solution and precipitated wax is thereafter separated by, for example filtration. The temperature and solvent are selected so that the oil is dissolved by the chilled solvent while the wax is precipitated.

A particularly suitable solvent dewaxing process involves the use of a cooling tower where solvent is pre-chilled and added incrementally at several points along the height of the cooling tower. The oil-solvent mixture is agitated during the chilling step to permit substantially instantaneous mixing of the pre-chilled solvent with the oil. The pre-chilled solvent is added incrementally along the length of the cooling tower so as to maintain an average chilling rate at or below 10°F /minute, usually between about 1 to about 5°F/minute. The final temperature of the oil-solvent/precipitated wax mixture in the cooling tower will usually be between 0 and 50°F (-17.8°C to 10°C). The mixture may then be sent to a scraped surface chiller to separate precipitated wax from the mixture.

In general, the amount of solvent added will be sufficient to provide a liquid/solid weight ratio between the range of 5/1 and 20/1 at the dewaxing temperature and a solvent/oil volume ratio between 1.5/1 to 5/1. The solvent dewaxed oil is typically dewaxed to a pour point less than about +10°C.

In the process according to the invention, the hydrocarbon feedstock is passed sequentially through a catalyst system containing a first amorphous hydroisomerization catalyst followed by a shape selective catalytic dewaxing catalyst. While both isomerization and catalytic dewaxing can be used to dewax a waxy feed, they accomplish dewaxing by differing reaction routes. In the case of bifunctional catalysts (molecular sieve plus active metal component), the nature of the dewaxing results for waxy paraffins is dependent on several factors including the reaction temperature and pressure, the nature of the metal and the nature and number of available acidic sites, especially the density and strengths of acidic sites. While not wishing to be bound to any particular theory or reaction mechanism, it appears that the initial step with either mode of dewaxing is the formation of olefins at the active metal sites followed by the formation of

carbenium ions at the acidic sites. If the primary means of reaction of these intermediate ions is isomerization, then the hydroisomerized products will be branched paraffins, i.e., iso-paraffins and molecules still useful as components of lubricating base oils. On the other hand, if the primary means of reaction of the intermediate ions is selective hydrocracking, then a portion of the reacted paraffins will be removed from the lubricating basestock boiling point range. Most catalytic dewaxing catalysts perform in a combination of these two modes to some degree. Selective hydrocracking also known as catalytic dewaxing is distinguished from hydrocracking in that the former shows significant preference for reaction of paraffinic molecules. In general, catalytic dewaxing by selective hydrocracking or paraffin hydroisomerization takes place under milder reaction conditions than those conditions for hydrocracking.

The isomerization catalyst in the present process is an amorphous (non-crystalline) material. In the case of crystalline materials such as aluminosilicates, aluminophosphates and silicoaluminophosphates, selectivity for isomerization is achieved in part by controlling the nature of pore openings. Large pore zeolites having pore diameters greater than 7.0Å and high silica to alumina ratios (low acidities) are employed for isomerization.

In the case of amorphous isomerization catalysts according to the invention, isomerization selectivity is controlled by a combination of acidity, pore volume, alumina content and isoelectric point.

A useful scale of acidity for catalysts is based on the isomerization of 2-methyl-2-pentene as described by Kramer and McVicker, J. Catalysis, 92, 355 (1985). In this scale of acidity, 2-methyl-2-pentene is subjected to the catalyst to be evaluated at a fixed temperature, typically 200°C. In the presence

of catalyst sites, 2-methyl-2-pentene forms a carbenium ion. The isomerization pathway of the carbenium ion is indicative of the acidity of active sites in the catalyst. Thus weakly acidic sites form 4-methyl-2-pentene whereas strongly acidic sites result in a skeletal rearrangement to 3-methyl-2-pentene with very strongly acid sites forming 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene. The mole ratio of 3-methyl-2-pentene to 4-methyl-2-pentene can be correlated to a scale of acidity. This acidity scale ranges from 0.0 to 4.0. Very weakly acidic sites will have values near 0.0 whereas very strongly acidic sites will have values approaching 4.0. The isomerization catalysts useful in the present process have acidity values of from about 0.5 to 2.5, preferably 0.5 to 2.0. The acidity of metal oxide supports can be controlled by adding promoters and/or dopants, or by controlling the nature of the metal oxide support, e.g., by controlling the amount of silica incorporated into a silica-alumina support. Examples of promoters and/or dopants include halogen, especially fluorine, phosphorus, boron, yttria, rare-earth oxides and magnesia. Promoters such as halogens generally increase the acidity of metal oxide supports while mildly basic dopants such as yttria or magnesia tend to decrease the acidity of such supports.

The amorphous isomerization catalyst is a silica-alumina based catalyst having a pore volume less than 0.99 ml/gm (H_2O), preferably less than 0.8 ml/gm (H_2O), and most preferably less than 0.6 ml/gm (H_2O). As is known in the art, the term "pore volume (H_2O)" refers to pore volume measured by drying the catalyst to about 500°C, weighing the dried catalyst, immersing it in water for 15 minutes, removing the material from the water and centrifuging to remove surface water. Then the material is weighed and the pore volume is determined from the differences in weight between the dried catalyst and the latter material.

In addition to its pore volume, the silica-alumina of the catalyst is further characterized as having an alumina content in the range of 35 to 55 wt%, preferably 35 to 50 wt%, most preferably 38 to 45 wt%, based on the isomerization catalyst.

Another criteria of the silica-alumina used in the isomerization catalyst is that it has an isoelectric point from 4.5 to 6.5. As is known in the art, the isoelectric point of a material depends on the relative concentration and the acidity (pK_a/pK_b) of surface species (G. A. Parks, Chem. Review, 177-198 (1965)).

Optionally the silica-alumina based catalyst material can be promoted or doped with e.g., yttria or with a rare earth oxide, e.g., La, Ce, etc., or with e.g., boria, magnesia. In this particular embodiment, the isoelectric point will increase depending on the dopant and dopant level to a level not more than 2 points higher than that of the base silica-alumina.

The isomerization catalyst also contains a metal hydrogenation component which may be at least one of a Group VIB and Group VIII metal, preferably a Group VIII metal, more preferably a Group VIII noble metal, especially Pt, Pd, or mixtures thereof. The amount of metal hydrogenation component is from 0.1 to 30 wt%, based on isomerization catalyst, preferably from 0.3 to 20 wt%.

The hydroisomerization process utilizing the catalyst of the present invention is conducted at temperatures between about 200°C to 400°C, preferably 250°C to 380°C, and most preferably 300°C to 350°C at hydrogen partial pressure between about 350 to 5000 psig (2.41 to 34.6 mPa), preferably 1000 to

- 13 -

2500 psig (7.0 to 17.2 mPa), a hydrogen gas treat ratio of 500 to 10,000 SCF H₂/bbl (89 to 1780 m³/m³), preferably 2,000 to 5,000 SCF H₂/bbl (356 to 890 m³/m³) and a LHSV of 0.1 to 10 v/v/hr, 0.5 to 5 v/v/hr, preferably 1 to 2 v/v/hr.

By choosing relatively mild conditions, isomerization of waxy components (n-paraffins and slightly branched paraffins) to isoparaffinic materials can be accomplished with a minimum of cracking to non-lube (boiling below 343°C) products. While these isoparaffinic materials may have a high VI, some individual species may also may have pour points too high to meet the low temperature requirements of modern basestocks. Also, it is not feasible to isomerize all of the waxy components in the feed. Thus there is a need to catalytically dewax the products from this first stage to lower the pour point to desired target range.

Catalysts useful in the catalytic dewaxing step include crystalline 10 and 12 ring molecular sieves and a metal hydrogenation component. Crystalline molecular sieves include metallo-, e.g., alumino silicates, alumino phosphates and silicoaluminophosphates. Examples of crystalline alumino silicates include zeolites such as ZSM-5, ZSM-11, ZSM-12, Theta-1 (ZSM-22), ZSM-23, ZSM-35, ZSM-48 natural and synthetic ferrierites, ZSM-57, Beta Mordenite and Offretite. Examples of crystalline alumino and silicoalumino-phosphates include SAPO-11, SAPO-41, SAPO-31, MAPO-11 and MAPO-31. Preferred include ZSM-5, ZSM-22, ZSM-23, ferrierites, and SAPO-11.

The dewaxing catalyst may also contain an amorphous component. The acidity of the amorphous component is preferably from 0.5 to 2.5 on the Kramer/McVicker acidity scale described above. Examples of amorphous materials include silica-alumina, halogenated alumina, acidic clays, silica-

magnesia, yttria silica-alumina and the like. Especially preferred is silica-alumina.

The dewaxing catalyst may also include a matrix or binder which is a material resistant to process conditions and which is substantially non-catalytic under reaction conditions. Matrix materials may be synthetic or naturally occurring materials such as clays, silica and metal oxides. Matrix materials which are metal oxides include single oxides such as alumina, binary compositions such as silica-magnesia and ternary compositions such as silica-alumina-zirconia.

If the dewaxing catalyst contains an active amorphous component, the crystalline molecular sieve/metal hydrogenation component/amorphous component may be composited together. In the alternative disclosed herein, the crystalline molecular sieve and amorphous component can be in a layered configuration wherein it is preferred the top layer in the reaction vessel is the amorphous component and the lower layer is the crystalline molecular sieve. In this alternative configuration it is preferred that the metal hydrogenation component be present on both components.

The metal hydrogenation component of the dewaxing catalyst may be at least one metal from the Group VIB and Group VIII of the Periodic Table (published by Sargent-Welch Scientific Company). Preferred metals are Group VIII noble metals, especially palladium and platinum. Metals may be added to the catalyst by means well known in the art such as metal impregnation with a soluble salt.

The dewaxing catalyst may contain, based on the weight of total catalyst, from 5 to 95 wt% of crystalline molecular sieve, from 0 to 90 wt% of amorphous component and from 0.1 to 30 wt% of metal hydrogenation component with the balance being matrix material.

Process conditions in the catalytic dewaxing zone include a temperature of from 260 to 420°C, preferably 270 to 400° C, a hydrogen partial pressure of from 2.41 to 34.5 mPa (350 to 5000 psi), preferably 5.52 to 20.7 mPa, a liquid hourly space velocity of from 0.1 to 10 v/v/hr, preferably 0.5 to 3.0, and a hydrogen circulation rate of from 89 to 1780 m³/m³ (500 to 10000 scf/bbl), preferably 178 to 890 m³/m³.

The amount of dewaxing by selective hydrocracking to lower boiling materials will be a function of the nature of the isomerate from the isomerization zone, the dewaxing properties of the molecular sieve chosen and the desired target pour point. In general, the lower the pour point chosen, the greater the conversion to lower boiling point non-lube products because more severe selective hydrocracking conditions will be required.

The process of the invention may occur by using a single reactor containing two separated beds of isomerization and dewaxing catalyst, or make take place in separate reactors, e.g., a cascade reactor system in which the first reactor contains the isomerization catalyst and the second reactor the dewaxing catalyst optionally with inter-reactor separation of the liquid and gaseous products with the liquid products being further processed in the dewaxing reactor. Either configurations offers advantages over a single catalyst system, including those in which the two respective dewaxing functions are combined into a single or composite catalyst.

- 16 -

Each catalyst phase may be used at its ideal reactor conditions to obtain the desired final basestock properties. If the target properties are high VI and lower viscosities, then the reactor conditions can be made more severe in the first bed of isomerization catalyst. This will result in lower lube basestock yields. On the other hand, if a higher yield is the desired result, then the severity of the conditions in the first isomerization catalyst bed should be reduced. This will result in lower VI and higher viscosities.

As normal catalyst deactivation occurs, it is expected that the two catalysts will deactivate at different rates. The separate temperature control possible for the individual catalyst beds will allow maintenance of the desired conversion rates over each respective catalyst. Also, separate temperature control allows the operator to rapidly and efficiently adjust operation of the separate beds to meet product quality/quantity objectives. The layered or stacked bed configuration permits much greater feed flexibility over traditional dewaxing. For example, feeds may have widely varying wax contents. Finally, the first isomerization bed increases the relative pour point reduction activity of the second dewaxing bed. This may occur due to the cracking out and saturation of some fraction of the aromatics present in the feedstock as well as by the isomerization of a fraction of the wax present in the feedstock, particularly those higher carbon number wax molecules which may be more difficult for a molecular sieve catalyst to isomerize or crack from the feedstock.

The process is further exemplified in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 is a schematic flow diagram showing a layered catalyst configuration. In Figure 1, hydrogen gas enters reactor 10 through line 12. Heated feedstock is added to reactor 10 through line 14 where it is distributed over the reactor diameter by plate 16. After passing through distributor 16, feed contacts catalyst bed 18

- 17 -

containing amorphous isomerization catalyst. Catalyst bed 18 is heated to the desired temperature by hot gas such as steam or other heating means entering line 20 to heating coil 22 and exiting through line 24. Feedstock, which is at least partially isomerized in catalyst bed 18, flows through separator 26. Separator 26 has sides with openings of such size as to admit hydrogen and feed but not catalyst. Isomerized feed is then conducted to a second distribution plate 28 through conduit 30. Upon passing through distributor 28, isomerized feed contacts catalytic dewaxing bed 32. Catalyst bed 32 is also heated to the desired temperature by circulating hot gas entering through line 34 to heating coil 36 and exit line 38. The temperature in bed 32 may be different from bed 18 to achieve the desired conversion level and product quality. Dewaxed product then exits bed 32 by passing through separator 40 where it is collected in collector 42. Hydrogen gas exits reactor 10 by line 44.

Figure 2 is a schematic flow diagram showing another embodiment of the invention. Heated feedstock enters reactor 11 through line 15. Hydrogen gas is admitted to reactor 11 via line 17. Feedstock passes through distributor 19 to catalyst bed 21 containing amorphous isomerization catalyst. Reactor 11 is heated to maintain the desired temperature in catalyst bed 21 by heating coils (not shown). The at least partially isomerized feedstock is separated from catalyst via separator and is conducted through line 25 to heat exchanger 27. After being heated to the desired temperature, isomerized feedstock is passed to pump 29 and on to reactor 31 via line 35. Hydrogen gas from reactor 11 is conducted to reactor 31 by line 33. Make-up hydrogen can be added to line 33 if desired. Upon entering reactor 31, isomerized feedstock passes through distributor 37 where it is then contacted with catalyst bed 39 containing dewaxing catalyst. Reactor 31 is heated to maintain the desired temperature in catalyst bed 39 by heating coils (not shown). The temperature in reactor 31 may be

different from that of reactor 21 and is adjusted as needed to maintain the desired conversion level and product quality. Dewaxed feedstock is then separated from catalyst by separator 41 and is withdrawn via line. Hydrogen gas is withdrawn from reactor 31 by line 45.

The total liquid product from either the layered catalyst system of Figure 1 or the two reactor system of Figure 2 may require post-treatment to adjust product quality parameters such as color, toxicity, or haze. Hydrofinishing is commonly employed to adjust such product quality parameters. Process conditions for hydrofinishing are mild to minimize product loss through cracking. Conventional hydrofinishing catalysts include non-noble metal Group VIII and/or Group VI metals such as Ni/Mo, Co/Mo, Ni/W, Ni/Co/Mo and the like on non-acidic or weakly acidic supports such as silica or alumina. The catalyst may be activated prior to use by sulfiding or other conventional method.

Reaction conditions in the hydrofinishing unit are mild and include a temperature of from 260°C to 360°C, preferably 290°C to 350°C, more preferably 290°C to 330°C, a hydrogen partial pressure of from 1000 to 2500 psig (7.0 to 17.3 mPa), preferably 1000 to 2000 psig (7.0 to 13.9 mPa), a space velocity of from 0.2 to 5.0 LHSV, preferably 0.7 to 3.0 LHSV, and a hydrogen to feed ratio of from 500 to 5000 SCF/bbl (89 to 890 m³/m³), preferably 2000 to 4000 Scf/bbl (356 to 712 m³/m³). The catalyst in the cold hydrofinishing unit may be the same as in the first hydroconversion unit. However, more acidic catalyst supports such as silica-alumina, zirconia and the like may be used in the cold hydrofinishing unit.

The invention is further illustrated by the following non-limiting examples.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

In this example, a waxy hydrocracker bottoms feedstock designated as HCIS, was hydroconverted over a 0.5% Pt/ 4.0% yttria on silica-alumina catalyst with a pore volume of 0.54 ml/gm (H₂O), and alumina content of 45 wt% based on silica-alumina and an isoelectric point of 6.08 (designated as Catalyst D), over a Pd/Pt on ferrierite based catalyst (designated as Catalyst B), and over both catalysts in a cascaded reactor sequence. In all cases the reactor pressure was 1000 psig of hydrogen at a flow rate of 2500 scf/bbl.

Catalyst D Alone

The following data was obtained for hydroconversion using only 0.5% Pt on silica-alumina (Catalyst A), which does not reduce pour points to the desired levels, follow by solvent dewaxing (SDW).

TABLE 1

Catalyst D

	350°C+ Yield (%)	VI	Reactor Temp. (°C)	Pour Point after SDW (°C)	Grams Dry Wax in 350°C+ per 100 grams feed	Wax Converted to Oil or 350°C- Products	Calculated Dewaxed Oil Yield (%)	Dewaxed Oil Yield Loss (%)
SDW - Waxy Product	86	112	Solvent Dewaxed	-22	18.0	--	67.9	--
Hydro- Converted Products	75	117	340	-23	12.8	29%	62.2	5.7
	70	117	340	-23	12.6	30%	57.4	10.5
	73	120	340	-19	11.7	35%	61.3	6.6
	61	118	360	-24	8.5	53%	52.5	15.4
	61	120	360	-17	10.4	42%	50.6	17.3
	63	118	360	-19	11.3	37%	51.7	16.2
	75	117	340	-20	13.5	25%	61.5	6.4
	74	117	340	-21	13.3	26%	60.7	7.2
	76	118	340	-20	14.4	20%	61.6	6.3

It may be seen that during hydroconversion over Catalyst D, a significant increase in VI is obtained as compared to the non-hydroconverted feedstock where both are solvent dewaxed to similar pour points. It may further be seen, based on the amount of dry wax obtained during post-hydroprocessing solvent dewaxing, that a significant percentage of the wax originally present in the feedstock is either converted to "oil" or cracked to 350°C- products by Catalyst D hydroconversion. The observation that the percentage conversion of wax is significantly greater than the percentage loss of dewaxed oil, coupled with the observed VI increase strongly indicates that significant wax isomerization took place.

Catalyst B Alone

The same waxy feedstock was also hydroconverted/dewaxed using a Pd/Pt on ferrierite catalyst (Catalyst B) and in a cascaded reactor sequence of Catalyst A followed by Catalyst B. Identical reactor pressure and hydrogen flow conditions were used as above. The following 350° C+ product yields and VI's were obtained.

TABLE 2

	<u>Reactor Temperature</u>	<u>Pour Point (°C)</u>	<u>Yield (%)</u>	<u>VI</u>
SDW	--	- 22	68	112
Catalyst B	310	- 20	67	113
	320	- 26	66	112
	330	- 32	59	112
	340	- 38	58	111

TABLE 3Catalyst A followed by Catalyst B Cascaded Reactor Configuration

	<u>Temperature</u> <u>1</u>	<u>Temperature</u> <u>2</u>	<u>Pour</u> <u>Point</u> <u>(°C)</u>	<u>Yield</u> <u>(%)</u>	<u>VI</u>
SDW	--	--	- 22	68	112
	340	310	- 23	57	115
	340	310	- 21	55	115
	340	310	- 23	56	114
	340	340	- 35	48	113
	340	340	- 38	51	113
	340	3340	- 38	49	113

Here it may be seen that the stacked bed configuration (cascaded reactor configuration) gives dewaxed product with higher VI than that obtained using the Catalyst B dewaxing catalyst alone.

The 350°C+ product yields (Figure 3) and VI's (Figure 4) as a function of pour point are compared graphically for the Catalyst B alone and the Catalyst A/Catalyst B stacked bed configuration.

EXAMPLE 2

This example is directed to a comparison of a 0.5% Pt/silica-alumina catalyst with a pore volume of 0.54 ml/gm (H₂O), and alumina content of 45 wt% based on silica-alumina and an isoelectric point of 5.41 (designated Catalyst A) with a 0.5% Pt/Theta-1 based catalyst (Catalyst C). The feed is a waxy lubes hydrocracker distillate which was processed at a reactor pressure of 1000 psig hydrogen and a hydrogen flow rate of 2500 scf/bbl.

The following graphical presentation of 350°C+ topped yields and VI's (Figure 5 and Figure 6) show a comparison of:

1. A Catalyst A first, Catalyst C second, stacked bed configuration (4.5:1 ratio of Catalyst A:Catalyst C) wherein Catalyst C phase was additionally composited with 0.4%Pt/alumina in a 1:4.5 ratio of Catalyst C:alumina.
2. A Catalyst C/Catalyst A composited catalyst (4.5:1 ratio of Catalyst A:Catalyst C) wherein the bed was additionally diluted with a 0.4% Pt/alumina in a 3.85:3.15 ratio of composite:alumina.
3. An alumina/Catalyst C composited catalyst (3:1 ratio of alumina:Catalyst C) wherein Catalyst C contained 0.5% Pt and the alumina contained 0.6% Pt.

In Figure 5 it may be seen that the highest VI's (as a function of pour point) are produced by the Catalyst A first stacked bed configuration. Yields for the stacked bed are higher than for a composite catalyst, albeit lower than for a zeolite only single catalyst (Figure 6).

Example 3

The following example shows a comparison of the 350°C+ yields and VI's produced by a Catalyst A first, Catalyst C second, stacked bed configuration as compared to a "reversed stacked bed" with Catalyst A second and Catalyst C first.

- 24 -

The data in Figure 7 (VI vs. pour point) and Figure 8 (350°C+ yield vs. pour point) shows that the Catalyst A first configuration gives higher dewaxing activity (obtained in the Catalyst A bed) at equivalent reactor temperatures than does the reversed stacked bed configuration.

The Catalyst A first configuration has an advantage in that keeping a lower zeolite bed temperature will extend useful run lengths or allow a design with a higher zeolite bed space velocity and hence a smaller, less expensive reactor.

Example 4

In this example, a waxy hydrocracker bottoms feedstock designated as LVIS, was hydroconverted over Catalyst A at a reactor pressure of 1000 psig of hydrogen at a flow rate of 2500 scf/bbl.

The following data was obtained for hydroconversion using only Catalyst A, which does not reduce pour points to the desired levels, followed by solvent dewaxing (SDW).

TABLE 4

Catalyst A

SDW - Waxy Product	350°C+ Yield (%)	VI	Reactor Temp. (°C)	Pour Point after SDW (°C)	Grams Dry Wax in 350°C+ per 100 grams feed	Wax Converted to Oil or 350°C- Products	Calculated Dewaxed Oil Yield (%)	Dewaxed Oil Yield Loss (%)
Hydro- Converted Products	99.8	107	Solvent Dewaxed	-21	17.8	--	82.0	--
	74.5	114	310	-19	9.1	49%	65.4	16.6
	75.6	114	310	-20	10.4	41%	65.2	16.8
	83.8	112	300	-15	12.0	32%	71.7	10.3
	83.7	111	300	-24	13.0	27%	70.7	11.3
	87.2	111	295	-19	12.9	28%	74.3	7.7
	89.9	110	290	-19	14.4	19%	75.5	6.6
	89.2	109	290	-20	16.0	10%	73.2	8.8
	88.8	110	290	-20	17.1	4%	71.8	10.3
	91.5	109	290	-21	14.5	18%	77.0	5.1
	90.0	110	290	-18	14.4	19%	75.6	6.4
	91.9	110	290	-18	14.6	18%	77.3	4.7

It may again be seen that during hydroconversion over Catalyst A, a significant increase in VI is obtained as compared to the non-hydroconverted feedstock where both are solvent dewaxed to similar pour points. It may further be seen, based on the amount of dry wax obtained during post-hydroprocessing solvent dewaxing, that generally a significant percentage of the wax originally present in the feedstock is either converted to "oil" or cracked to 350°C-products by Catalyst A hydroconversion. The observation that the percentage conversion of wax is generally greater than the percentage loss of dewaxed oil, coupled with the observed VI increase strongly indicates that significant wax isomerization took place.

Example 5

In this example, individual balances of hydroconversion reaction products generated in Example 4, prior to solvent dewaxing, were consolidated to generate two feeds (designated Feed-10 indicated with solid square and Feed-25 indicated with solid triangles) in sufficient quantity for a second hydroprocessing reaction over dewaxing Catalyst C and a 0.5% Pt/high silica ferrierite catalyst (designated Catalyst E), as well as the non-hydroprocessed waxy product whose solvent dewaxed properties are given in Table 5 (designated as Feed-0 indicated by solid diamonds) (the waxy product was used as a feed without prior solvent dewaxing). The properties of the two consolidated feeds and their designations are:

TABLE 5Feed Properties and Designations

<u>Feed Designation</u>	<u>VI *</u>	<u>Reactor Temp. (°C)</u>	<u>Pour Point after SDW (°C)</u>	<u>% Dry Wax in 350°C+ Composited Product</u>
Feed-10 (~10% Pre-Converted Feed)	110	295	-19	15.6
Feed-25 (~25% Pre-Converted Feed)	115	310	-19	14.7

* VI following feed solvent dewaxing to -19°C pour point. Feed was not solvent dewaxed prior to use as a feed for catalytic dewaxing.

The VI as a function of pour point for the conversion of the three feeds over Catalyst C and Catalyst E are shown graphically in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. In both Figures 9 and 10 solid diamonds represent Feed-0, solid squares represent Feed-10, and solid triangles represent Feed-25.

It may be seen that the combination of pre-isomerization using the specified class of acidic isomerization catalyst followed by catalytic dewaxing leads to significantly higher VI's than for catalytic dewaxing alone. This remains true for process sequences which include separation of the vapor products from the liquid products before catalytic dewaxing as well as for process sequences which catalytically dewax the entire product from the isomerization reaction step.

CLAIMS:

1. A process for producing a lubricating base oil having good low temperature properties comprises:

- (a) contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock with an amorphous silica-alumina based isomerization catalyst having a pore volume less than 0.99 ml/gm (H_2O), an alumina content in the range of 35-55 wt% based on silica-alumina and an isoelectric point in the range of 4.5 to 6.5, and a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of hydrogen to at least partially isomerize waxy paraffins in the hydrocarbon feedstock, forming at least a partially isomerized feedstock; and
- (b) contacting at least a portion of the at least partially isomerized feedstock with a 10 or 12 ring shape selective crystalline molecular sieve catalyst containing a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of hydrogen to at least partially catalytically dewax the feedstock from step (a).

2. A process for producing a lubricating base oil having good low temperature properties comprises:

- (a) contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock with an amorphous silica-alumina based isomerization catalyst having a pore volume less than 0.99 ml/gm (H_2O), an alumina content in the range of 35-55 wt% based on silica-alumina wherein the silica-alumina is modified with a rare earth oxide or yttria or boria or magnesia and has an isoelectric point greater than but no more than 2 points greater than that of the base silica-alumina, and a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of hydrogen to at least partially

- 29 -

isomerize waxy paraffins in the hydrocarbon feedstock, forming at least a partially isomerized feedstock; and

- (b) contacting at least a portion of the at least partially isomerized feedstock with a 10 or 12 ring shape selective crystalline molecular sieve catalyst containing a metal hydrogenation component in the presence of hydrogen to at least partially catalytically dewax the feedstock from step (a).

3. The process of claims 1 or 2 wherein the at least partially isomerized feedstock is stripped of gaseous components prior to step (b).

4. The process of claim 1 and 2 wherein the catalyst of step (b) comprises 10 or 12 ring crystalline molecular sieves.

5. The process of claim 4 wherein the crystalline molecular sieve is a metallo silicate or metallo phosphate.

6. The process of claim 5 wherein the crystalline molecular sieve is selected from crystalline alumino silicates and crystalline silicoalumino-phosphates.

7. The process of claim 6 wherein the alumino silicates are selected from ZSM-5, ZSM-11, ZSM-22, ZSM-23, ZSM-35, ZSM-48, natural or synthetic ferrierites, mordenite and offretite and ZSM-57.

8. The process of claim 6 wherein the crystalline molecular sieve is selected from SAPO-11, SAPO-41, SAPO-31, MAPO-11 and MAPO-31.

9. The process of claim 6 wherein the crystalline molecular sieve is selected from ZSM-5, ZSM-22, ZSM-23, ferreirites and SAPO-11.

10. The process of claims 1 and 2 further comprising hydro-finishing the at least partially catalytically dewaxed feedstock.

11. The process of claims 1 and 2 wherein the catalyst of step (b) further comprises an amorphous component.

12. The process of claim 1 and 2 wherein the amorphous component has an acidity defined by the Kramer/McVicker scale of from 0.5-2.5.

13. The process of claims 1 and 2 further comprising extracting the at least partially catalytically dewaxed feedstock.

1 / 9




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

3 / 9




FIG. 3

4 / 9




FIG. 4

5 / 9




FIG. 5

6 / 9





FIG. 6



Pour Point

FIG. 7




FIG. 8

9/9




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 International application No.
 PCT/US99/03009

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : C10G 71/00, 35/06; C07C 5/00, 5/13, 5/22

US CL : 208/18, 62, 63, 79, 134, 135; 585/310, 734, 739

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 208/18, 62, 63, 79, 134, 135; 585/310, 734, 739

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X — Y	US 5,378,351 A (GUICHARD ET AL) 03 January 1995 (03/01/95), see column 3, lines 7-12, column 4, lines 1-7 and 37, column 6, line 68 and column 7, lines 1-4 and 19-31.	1-7 and 9-13 ----- 8
Y	US 5,135,638 A (MILLER) 04 August 1992 (04/08/92), see column 4, lines 64-66, column 14, lines 9-10 and column 23, lines 5-10.	8
X — Y	US 5,037,528 A (GARWOOD ET AL) 06 August 1991 (06/08/91), see column 8, lines 19-20 and 59-65 and column 9, lines 59-62 and 53-55.	1-7 and 9-13 ----- 8

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 APRIL 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

20 MAY 1999

 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Box PCT
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

NADINE PREISCH

Telephone No. (703) 308-0661

 BRIAN A. HARDEN
 PARALEGAL SPECIALIST
 GROUP 770

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/03009

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4,743,355 A (WARD) 10 May 1988 (10/05/88), see column 4, lines 45-59, column 8, lines 13-19 and 32 and column 9, lines 5-10.	1-7 and 9-13
A	US 4,919,788 A (CHEN ET AL) 24 April 1990 (24/04/90), see entire document.	1-13
A	US 4,908,120 A (BOWES ET AL) 13 March 1990 (13/03/90), see entire document.	1-7 and 9-13
A,E	US 5,885,438 A (APELIAN ET AL) 23 March 1999 (23/03/99), see entire document.	1-13
A,P	US 5,723,716 A (BRANDES ET AL) 03 March 1998 (03/03/98), see entire document.	1-13
A	US 5,246,566 A (MILLER) 21 September 1993 (21/09/93), see entire document.	1-13
A,P	US 5,834,522 A (MIGNARD ET AL) 10 November 1998 (10/11/98), see entire document.	1-13

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)